



**ACADEMIC SENATE
COMPTON COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT
Minutes
Academic Senate Meeting
6/2/2011**

Attendance:

Senators

**Michael Odanaka
Vanessa Haynes
Saul Panski
Leticia Vasquez
Leonard Clark
Jerome Evans
Mandeda Uch**

**Jose Bernaudo
Estina Pratt
Chris Halligan
Tom Norton
Fred Lamm
Shirley Thomas
Annaruth Garcia**

Administrators/Visitors

Cazzie O. Burns (Office of Senator Wright)

**Carmela Aguilar
Laurie Klonnecky
Cornelia Lyles
Don Roach
Barbara Perez
Cheryl Threadgill
Ella Stewart
David Maruyama**

**Aurora Cortez-Perez
Lauren Gras
Ruth Roach
Shemiram Lazar
Chelvi Subramaniam
Diane Collins
Pieter Van Niel
Bruce Jacobs**

I. Call to Order - Saul Panski

II. Agenda - Pratt/Vasquez - passed.

III. Minutes Minutes of 5.19.11 Vasquez/Pratt – passed.

IV. Reports

President:

- ECC has reinstated the winter session and the calendar as originally adopted.

Chief Business Officer: Budget Update

- The State budget appears to have reduced deferrals from 9 to 6. In 2011-2012 - 20% of our income will be deferred to 2012-2013.
- We are anticipating funding at 6000 FTES - there will be no salary roll-backs.
- Not sure if budget suppositions will change, but if they do, we will react as fast as we can.
- June 28th board meeting – tentative budget will be ready for approval by Board.
- All of this is contingent upon November 2011 election Any new moneys (from a reduction in proposed cuts) would not come until January 2012, if at all

Villanueva addressed the inoperable elevators in Math-Science and Voc Tech

- MS elevators – Wayne Ward Dr. Curry and he have been meeting to discuss this problem but at the moment there is no money to fix it.

Discussion:

Panski: We are slated to experience a reduction in funding of \$1.8 and not \$2.8 million dollars as projected. Some funds are being used for the restoration of sections but the District is not backing off of classified eliminations - 10 people. Instead of employees keeping jobs, money is just being squirreled away. Where is it going?

Villanueva: Its not real money. Plus, a lot of this total has already been spent.

Panski: Not really. The District has decided not to use this money for employees. The bottom line is that the district has consciously decided to not use money to save employee jobs.

Villanueva: Your words are deceptive.

Bernaudo: You (Danny) are not being honest with us. You're being shady.

Villanueva: There is no magical pot of money. We have so much stuff to deal with.

Van Niel: Lots of "logic" is being used to deceive. You said \$500K was to be cut from revenue, but we know that money is back in the pot.

Maruyama: Where is the uniformity in the cuts? This does not connect with any sort of Ed plan. We are not following the process we are supposed to follow. Is the administration biting the bullet too?

Villanueva: We are biting the bullet.

Panski: You are being disingenuous. You are not eliminating a single management position, only one classified supervisor.

Halligan: We aren't getting the math.

Roach: We are asking a simple question - Why can't we get an answer? Why does your response have to be so severe?

Villanueva: We are moving forward with the classified staff reductions.

Lazar: Who is responsible for the prioritization of this layoff list?

Subramaniam: We feel bad because of the lack of a good faith effort to revisit the budget given changing fiscal forecasts from Sacramento.

Bernaudo: We are disturbed because nobody is taking our information and concerns into account. This feels like a violation of some sort.

Villanueva: We are doing this because we don't want to be behind the eight-ball in the middle of the year.

Panski: Again, we will ask you: Where is the extra \$500K going? Where is the entire \$1 million in projected savings going?

Perez: \$300K has been restored to instruction.

Maruyama: This is a single revision, but we should have a plan-B and plan-C. Why is only one outcome being proposed? This is not exhibiting transparency for negotiations. We want to look at this decision organically, taking into consideration its effect on the whole institution.

Panski: Where is the \$300K going?

Perez: It's going to equipment, supplies, hiring full-time faculty, and into reserve.

Panski: We wanted to hear *this*. This is what *you* decided to do with this funding. Thank you for being responsive to our questions. We still don't know where the other \$500,000 is going though.

V. Discussion

Recognizing Tenured Faculty

The Senate congratulated the following faculty members on obtaining tenure and Vice President Perez stated that they would be acknowledged at the next Board meeting:

Fazal Aasi
Deborah Heming
Eyob Wallano

Dr. Don Roach indicated that all faculty earning tenure since 2006 should receive a letter of acknowledgement.

Tenure Review Committee

The President indicated that the Tenure Review committee would be re-established. It will look at third and fourth year faculty evaluations and make recommendations to the Senate on the granting of tenure.

Elevators:

Question was posed to Barbara Perez by Saul - How high a priority is fixing the broken elevators?

Perez: We have scheduled the repair, but MS is a major issue - fixes probably won't happen until July. If you have a disabled student, we will change the classroom. It's not good having the elevators down at this time, but these elevators need to be fixed, then re-certified. The VocTech elevator needs a minor fix but the MS elevator needs major work done.

VI. Action Items

Recognition of Retirees:

- Four retirees were acknowledged – Sharifian, Williams, DeSilva, and Stewart.
 - Ella Stewart received certificates of recognition from State Senator Rod Wright, Assemblyman Isadore Hall, and Congresswoman Laura Richardson.
 - **Senate Resolution** – read by Chelvi Subramaniam– Motion – Pratt/Norton – passed
- * Ella thanked the Senate for these acknowledgements and extended her best wishes to the institution and faculty. She also indicated what she hoped to do during her retirement.

VII. Motion to Adjourn: Pratt/Halligan - passed.